

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 AFSHIN BAHRAMPOUR,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 CITY OF LAS VEGAS, et al.,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0955 -APG-NJK

**ORDER APPROVING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING
CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

12
13 On June 5, 2013, Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe denied Plaintiff's request to
14 proceed *in forma pauperis*. See Order (Dkt. #2). Judge Koppe allowed Plaintiff to file a
15 new, completed application, and to submit a corrected Complaint. *Id.* On June 17, 2013,
16 the clerk re-mailed the Order to general delivery at Sunrise Station per the address listed
17 on the docket. The Order advised Plaintiff that failure to comply would result in a
18 recommendation to the District Judge for dismissal. Plaintiff did not comply or request
19 an extension of time in which to comply. On July 17, 2013, Judge Koppe entered her
20 Report and Recommendation [Dkt #4] recommending that Plaintiff's Application to
21 Proceed In Forma Pauperis be denied and that this action be dismissed without
22 prejudice.

23 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to the Magistrate Judge's report and
24 recommendations had to be filed within 14 days from the date of service. No objection
25 has been filed with regard to the July 17, 2013 Report and Recommendation. Rather,
26 Plaintiff has filed two new Applications to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Dkt. #6 on August
27 28, 2013 and Dkt. #9 on September 3, 2013) a Motion to Merge Cases (Dkt. #7) and a
28

1 Motion to Amend Complaint to reflect proper Plaintiff and Defendants (Dkt. #8). None of
2 those filings addresses the issues raised in Judge Koppe's prior Order [Dkt. #2] or
3 Report and Recommendation [Dkt. #4]. Moreover, as explained in that Report and
4 Recommendation, good cause exists to dismiss this case. Accordingly,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that all of Plaintiff's Applications to Proceed In Forma
6 Pauperis [Dkt. ## 1, 6, and 9] are DENIED, and this action is DISMISSED without
7 prejudice to the Plaintiff's ability to commence a new action in which he either pays the
8 appropriate filing fee in full or submits a properly completed application to proceed in
9 forma pauperis.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's other pending motions [Dkt. ##7 and 8]
11 are denied as moot.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment
13 accordingly.

14 Dated: September 5, 2013

15 
16 _____
17 ANDREW P. GORDON
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28